



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

FLESHNER & KIM, LLP  
PO BOX 221200  
CHANTILLY, VA 20153-1200

**COPY MAILED**

**SEP 29 2005**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Yi et al. :  
Application No. 09/932,459 :  
Filed: August 20, 2001 : ON PETITION  
Attorney Docket No. :  
HI-0035A :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed May 24, 2005, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed nonprovisional application set forth in the amendment filed concurrently with the instant petition.

The petition is **dismissed**.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2), and must be filed during the pendency of the nonprovisional application. In addition, the petition must be accompanied by:

- (1) the surcharge as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(t);
- (2) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional; and
- (3) the reference to the prior filed nonprovisional application, supplied in an application data sheet, or as an amendment in the first sentence of the specification following the title. See 35 USC 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2). The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant petition does not comply with item (1) above.

A reference to add the above-noted, prior-filed applications on page one following the first sentence of the specification has been included in a concurrently filed amendment. However, the amendment is not acceptable as drafted since it improperly incorporates by reference the prior-filed applications. Petitioner's attention is directed to Dart Industries v. Banner, 636 F.2d 684, 207 USPQ 273 (C.A.D.C. 1980), where the court drew a distinction between a permissible 35 U.S.C. § 120 statement and the impermissible introduction of new matter by way of incorporation by reference in a 35 U.S.C. § 120 statement. The court specifically stated:

Section 120 merely provides a mechanism whereby an application becomes entitled to benefit of the filing date of an earlier application disclosing the same subject matter. Common subject matter must be disclosed, in both applications, either specifically or by an express incorporation-by-reference of prior disclosed subject matter. Nothing in section 120 itself operates to carry forward any disclosure from an earlier application. In re deSeversky, *supra* at 674, 177 USPQ at 146-147. Section 120 contains no magical disclosure-augmenting powers able to pierce new matter barriers. It cannot, therefore, "limit" the absolute and express prohibition against new matter contained in section 251.

In order for the incorporation by reference statement to be effective as a proper safeguard against the omission of a portion of a prior application, the incorporation by reference statement must be included in the specification-as-filed, or in an amendment specifically referred to in an oath or declaration executing the application. See In re deSeversky, *supra*. Note also MPEP 201.06(c).

Accordingly, before the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) can be granted, a substitute amendment<sup>1</sup> deleting the incorporation by reference statement, along with a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), is required.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

---

<sup>1</sup> Note 37 CFR 1.121

By mail:           Mail Stop PETITIONS  
                  Commissioner for Patents  
                  Post Office Box 1450  
                  Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:           Customer Service Window  
                  Mail Stop Petitions  
                  Randolph Building  
                  401 Dulany Street  
                  Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax:           (571) 273-8300  
                  ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Attorney  
Derek L. Woods at (571) 272-3232.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Frances Hicks".

Frances Hicks  
Lead Paralegal  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy